Testable Hypothesis

As Christians we live in the world but are not part of it (John 15:19; 17:14). We are warned by James, “Do you not know that friendship with the world is hostility toward God? Therefore whoever wishes to be a friend of the world makes himself an enemy of God” (James 4:4). Despite the world’s hostility, we should not be surprised, and we are commanded to witness with compassion and love, being “kind to all, able to teach…with gentleness correcting those who are in opposition, if perhaps God may grant them repentance” (2 Tim 2:24-25).

Sloppy thinking as well as sloppy rhetoric should be challenged, with patience and perseverance, and professional and intellectual integrity. The scientific model should be objectively employed with these 5 Successful model factors

* + - Detailed
    - Continually refined
      * Demonstrate humility that it is not complete
    - Show scientists how certain discoveries can prove it wrong or affirmed--testable
    - Predictions need, by and large need to be correct
    - Identify the cause/designer behind record of nature phenomena

RTB has designed a fully testable creation model, willing to put faith on line, and dynamically open as we learn more-- we will find greater evidence of GOD! That model has six predictions:

1. Finding evidence for single beginning will increase and the evidence for multiple or infinite universes will decrease.

2. Evidence for finite time increases rather than infinite time.

3. Evidence for GR reliably describing cosmic dynamics continues to increase. Evidence today is with the gravity B probe orbiting earth.

4. The grip of space time theorems will be more relentless allowing more research that will buttress theorems.

5. Cause for transcendent causal agent gets stronger

6. Evidence for other miraculous event will be sound. Eventually evidence will prove miracles undeniable.

This last prediction is built on the fact science is not a naturalistic paradigm, miracles can happen. Yes, testing must be done with an open mind allowing for a creator to intervene. When the heart grasps what the creator has done for humanity, the next order of business, His new creation becomes even more breathtaking and understandable relevant to the here-and-now experience. What he has planned for us is unimaginable.

http://biologos.org/blog/an-evangelical-geneticists-critique-of-reasons-to-believe-pt-1

*Testable Creationism Model from RTB*

Following a discussion on [another thread](http://www.theologyweb.com/campus/showthread.php?141195-The-Problem-of-Evil-and-The-God-of-the-Gaps/page4), I was checking out the Reasons To Believe web site. On one page, they claim: "The unique beauty of this biblical creation model is its ability to predict with accuracy advancing scientific discovery."  
[http://www.reasons.org/rtbs-creation...creation-model](http://www.reasons.org/rtbs-creation-model/tcm-flood/summary-reasons-believes-testable-creation-model)  
  
Here is a list of some of those predictions of their Testable Creation Model (TCM):  
  
1. transcendent creation event  
2. cosmic fine-tuning  
3. fine-tuning of the earth's, solar system's, and Milky Way Galaxy's characteristics  
4. rapidity of life's origin  
5. lack of inorganic kerogen  
6. extreme biomolecular complexity  
7. Cambrian explosion  
8. missing horizontal branches in the fossil record  
9. placement and frequency of "transitional forms" in the fossil record  
10. fossil record reversal  
11. frequency and extent of mass extinctions  
12. recovery from mass extinctions  
13. duration of time windows for different species  
14. frequency, extent, and repetition of symbiosis  
15. frequency, extent, and repetition of altruism  
16. speciation and extinction rates  
17. recent origin of humanity  
18. huge biodeposits  
19. Genesis' perfect fit with the fossil record  
20. molecular clock rates  
  
Okay, I get why 1, 2, 3, 12, 17, 19 are necessarily true if we assume the TCM, but what of the others? How does TCM predict the placement and frequency of "transitional forms" in the fossil record, for example? By the way, I say 19 must be true if we assume TCM; I appreciate it is demonstrately *not* true.  
  
With regards to number 5; given that [kerogen is defined](http://www.google.com/search?num=10&hl=en&btnG=Google+Search&so=Search&q=define%3Akerogen) as organic material, how is this a prediction? I find number 20 especially intriguing, how on Earth do molecular clock rates fit with a creation scenario?   
  
Oh, and can I ask the people keep personal comments about other posters to themselves; anyone not doing so will be asked to leave. I trust this will not be too to ask...